|                       | I   |   |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| 1                     | OSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) Juited States Attorney  |   |
| 2                     | BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973)<br>Chief, Criminal Division  |   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | JEFFREY RABKIN (CSBN 189798) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36055 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436–7167 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 jeffrey.rabkin@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff |   |
| 10                    | UNITED STAT   | ES DISTRICT COURT   |
| 11                    | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
| 12                    | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  |   |
| 13                    |   |   |
| 14                    | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   | ) No.: CR 10-268 (MHP)  |
| 15                    | Plaintiff,  | STIPULATION AND [ <del>Proposed] O</del> rder                         |
| 16                    | v.  | EXCLUDING TIME UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FROM JULY 12, 2010 TO AUGUST 2, |
| 17                    | TOGEDILL DUOLIEGE   | ) 2010  |
| 18                    | JOSEPH J. PUGLIESE,   |   |
| 19                    | Defendant.  | )<br>}  |
| 20<br>21              |   | <b>\</b>  |
| 22                    |   | <b>'</b>  |
| 23                    | The parties appeared before the Honorable Marilyn H. Patel on July 12, 2010.  |   |
| 24                    | With the agreement of counsel for both parties, the Court finds and holds as follows:   |   |
| 25                    | 1. The government has produced discovery and the parties have engaged in  |   |
| 26                    | meaningful plea discussions relating to a disposition that will address the charges   |   |
| 27                    | currently pending in this Court.  |   |
| 28                    | 2. The parties agree to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from July   |   |
|                       | STIP & [PROP.] ORDER<br>CR 10-268 MHP   |   |

12, 2010 to August 2, 2010, in light of the need for defense counsel to review the discovery material. Failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

- 3. Given these circumstances, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from July 12, 2010 to August 2, 2010 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the period from July 12, 2010 to August 2, 2010 shall be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).
- 4. The parties anticipate that the defendant will enter a change of plea on the next court appearance on August 2, 2010.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: July 12, 2010

Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: July 12, 2010

M. GERALD SCHWARTZBACH, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 13, 2010

